Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/665,459	YOSHIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vivian Chen	1773	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Vivian Chen</u> .	(3)		
(2) Mr. Barth, Atty.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 29 August 2005	Time: <u>AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:		•	
Claims discussed: 1-49			
Prior art documents discussed: none	•		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
·			
•		•	
·			
1/2/		,	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Barth requested an Supplemental Examiner's Amendment to correct the following obvious typographical errors in the previous Examiner's Amendment (i.e., in claims 34, 37, 46, "consists" should read "consists essentially of" -- consistent with the language used in claim 1 -- in regard to the composition of layer R1, as agreed upon in the earlier interview on 7/12/2005).